

# Modeling & simulation of granulation system using nonsmooth discrete element method

M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Umeå University, <sup>2</sup> Algoryx Simulation, <sup>3</sup> LKAB, <sup>4</sup> Optimation



M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (1723) ( 2) ( 2) ( 2) ( 2)



### Motivating examples



#### Challenges

- number of particles: 1 100 M
- disparate time-scales:  $10^{-6} 10^3$  s
- model validity
- multidomain
- interoperability

M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wäng<sup>1</sup>, (2723)  $\stackrel{<}{=}$   $\stackrel{<}{\to}$   $\stackrel{<}{=}$   $\stackrel{<}{\to}$   $\stackrel{\sim}{\to}$   $\stackrel{\sim}{\to}$ 



### Solutions

#### introduction NDEM validation acceleration interoperability conclusions

- validated model for iron ore green pellet model as a nonsmooth discrete element method (NDEM)
- computational acceleration
- distributed modular co-simulation











#### interoperat conclusions

# Nonsmooth discrete element method (NDEM)



Smooth DEM	Nonsmooth DEM
smooth trajectories	velocity discontinuities
smooth forces	constraints, impulses & inequalities
small time-step	large time-step (implicit)
explicit integrator	QP or MLCP solve

Nonsmooth contact dynamics (Moreau [6], Jean [3], Acary [1], Servin [8]) M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wäng<sup>1</sup>, (4,23)



# NDEM - equations of motion

Multibody system  $(q,\dot{q})$  on descriptor form  $(q,\dot{q},\lambda,\bar{\lambda})$ 

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{q}} + \dot{\mathbf{M}}\dot{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q})^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\lambda} - \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{q})^{\mathsf{T}}\bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{e}}$$
(1)

$$\epsilon \lambda + g(q) = 0$$
 (2)

$$\gamma \bar{\lambda} + \bar{G}(q)\dot{q} = w(t)$$
 (3)

constraints g(q)= 0,  $\bar{G}(q)\dot{q}=w(t)$  - regularization  $\epsilon,$   $\gamma.$ 

Contacts: Hertz, Newton impact, Coulomb, rolling resistance

$$\begin{split} G_n\lambda_n &\sim k_n \left[g_i^{3/2} + cg_i^{1/2}\dot{g}\right]n \text{ , } \quad G_n\dot{q}^+ = -eG_n\dot{q}^- \\ &|\bar{G}_t\bar{\lambda}_t| \leqslant \mu_s |G_n\lambda_n| \text{ , } \quad |\bar{G}_r\bar{\lambda}_t| \leqslant \mu_r |G_n\lambda_n| \end{split}$$

M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (5₹23) < ≥ > < ≥ > > ≥ → ∧ ∧ ∧



#### NDEM - numerical integration

Linearized varational time stepper SPOOK (Lacoursière [4, 5])

$$\mathbf{q}_{n+1} = \mathbf{q}_n + \Delta t \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{n+1} \tag{4}$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M} & -\mathbf{G}^{\mathsf{T}} & -\bar{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathbf{G} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma} & \mathbf{0} \\ \bar{\mathbf{G}} & \mathbf{0} & \bar{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{H}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{n+1} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \end{pmatrix}}_{z} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{n} + \Delta t \mathbf{f}_{n} \\ -\frac{4}{\Delta t} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{g} + \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{G} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{n} \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}_{n} \end{pmatrix}}_{-\mathbf{r}} \quad (5)$$

Diagonal regularization and stabilization matrices

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} &= \frac{4}{\Delta t^2} \operatorname{diag} \left( \frac{\epsilon_i}{1 + 4\frac{\tau_i}{\Delta t}} \right) & \text{Constraint potential and dissipation} \\ \boldsymbol{\bar{\Sigma}} &= \frac{1}{\Delta t} \operatorname{diag} (\gamma_i) & \boldsymbol{U} &= \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{g}^\mathsf{T} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{-1} \boldsymbol{g} \\ \boldsymbol{\Upsilon} &= \operatorname{diag} \left( \frac{1}{1 + 4\frac{\tau_i}{\Delta t}} \right) & \boldsymbol{R} &= \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\bar{G}} \boldsymbol{\nu})^\mathsf{T} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\bar{G}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \end{split}$$

M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wäng<sup>1</sup>, (6 23) ( ) ( )



# Nonsmooth MBD - MLCP

introduction NDEM validation acceleration interoperability conclusions

Including frictional contacts, impacts, joint and motor limits lead to limits and complementarity conditions on the solution variables

$$Hz + r = w_{+} - w_{-}$$

$$0 \leq w_{+} \perp z - l \geq 0$$

$$0 \leq w_{-} \perp u - z \geq 0$$
(6)

The problem transforms from linear system to a mixed linear complementarity condition (MLCP)  $% \left( MLCP\right) =0$ 



### Nonsmooth MBD - Projected Gauss-Seidel solver

500 it

introduction NDEM validation acceleration interoperabilit conclusions Algorithm 2 NDEM simulation with PGS solver 100 it 1: initial state:  $(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{v}_0)$ 2: for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t/\Delta t$  do contact detection 3: 4: compute  $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{G}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{D}$ impact stage PGS solve  $\mathbf{v}_i \rightarrow (\mathbf{v}_i^+, \lambda_i^+)$ 5: compute  $\mathbf{q}_{n} = -(4/\Delta t) \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{n} \mathbf{g}_{n} + \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{n} \mathbf{G}_{n} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{+}$ 6: pre-step  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_i^+ + \Delta t \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{f}_{ext}$ 7: 10 it for  $k = 1, \ldots, N_{it}$  and while  $criteria(\mathbf{r})$  do 8: for each contact  $\alpha = 0, 1, \ldots, N_c - 1$  do 9. for each constraint n of contact  $\alpha$  do 10:  $\mathbf{r}_{n,k}^{(\alpha)} = -\mathbf{q}_{n,k}^{(\alpha)} + \mathbf{G}_n^{(\alpha)} \mathbf{v}$ 11:  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{n,k}^{(\alpha)} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{n,k-1}^{(\alpha)} + \mathbf{D}_{n,(\alpha)}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}$ 12:  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{n,k}^{(\alpha)} \leftarrow \operatorname{proj}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mu}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k}^{(\alpha)})$ 13:  $\Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{n,k}^{(\alpha)} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{n,k}^{(\alpha)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{n,k-1}^{(\alpha)}$ 14:  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{G}_{n.(\alpha)}^T \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{n.k}^{(\alpha)}$ 15: end for 16: end for 17: end for 18: 19:  $\mathbf{v}_{i+1} = \mathbf{v}$ 20:  $\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i + \Delta t \mathbf{v}_{i+1}$ 21: end for

Number of iterations v.s. particles and error tolerance

$$N_{it} \sim 0.1 \cdot N_p^z/\mathcal{E}$$

M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (8₹23) ( ₹ ) ( ₹ )



#### Nonsmooth MBD - Projected Gauss-Seidel solver

introduction NDEM validation acceleration interoperabilit



Number of iterations v.s. particles and error tolerance

$$N_{it} \sim 0.1 \cdot N_p^z / \epsilon$$

M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (9, 23) ≤ ₹ → ≤ ₹ → ∞ < ○



### NDEM model for iron ore green pellets

Contacts: Hertz, Newton impact, Coulomb, rolling resistance

$$\begin{split} G_n\lambda_n \sim k_n(E,d) \left[g_i^{3/2} + cg_i^{1/2}\dot{g}\right]n \quad , \quad \ \ \text{if} \ G_n\dot{q} \leqslant \nu_{imp} \\ G_n\dot{q}^+ = -eG_n\dot{q}^- \quad , \quad \ \ \text{if} \ G_n\dot{q} > \nu_{imp} \end{split}$$

 $|\bar{G}_t\bar{\lambda}_t|\leqslant \mu_{\text{s}}|G_n\lambda_n|\;,\;\;|\bar{G}_r\bar{\lambda}_t|\leqslant \mu_{\text{r}}|G_n\lambda_n|$ 



Variable size and mass - fines and moisture Rate equations for coalesence, layering and breakage

M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (10:23) = → (=) → ○ < ↔



#### Parametrization





ρ	3700 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	mass density
d	2 – 20 mm	diameter
E	$6.2\pm0.7~\mathrm{MPa}$	Young's modulus
c	$1\pm0.1$ mm/s	viscous damping
e	$0.18\pm0.04$	coefficient of restitution
μs	$0.91\pm0.04$	surface friction coefficient
$\mu_r$	$0.32\pm0.02$	rolling resistance coefficient

M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (11:23) = · · · = · · · · · · ·



### Validation

ALTER SA				
-	Test	Quantity	Observation	Simulation
	Pile shape	$\theta_{\rm r}$	$34\pm3~^\circ$	$36\pm2^\circ$
	Drum flow	$\theta_{\rm r}'$	$35\pm5~^\circ$	$34\pm2^\circ$
		$v_{ m tr}$	$0.20\pm0.03~m/s$	$0.22\pm0.02~m/s$
		Vs	$1.31\pm0.06$ m/s	$1.27\pm0.09~m/s$
		V <sub>sz</sub>	$0.58\pm0.05~m/s$	$0.49\pm0.03~m/s$
		$v_{s\perp}$	$1.18 \pm 0.07 \text{ m/s}$	$1.17 \pm 0.09 \text{ m/s}$

M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (12:23) = · · · = · · · · · · ·



### Computational acceleration

Computational time for simulation of  $t_{\mbox{\scriptsize real}}$  seconds

$$t_{comp} = t_{real} \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta t} \cdot \underbrace{K_{cpu} \cdot \alpha \ N_{p} \cdot N_{it}}_{PGS} \cdot \frac{1}{N_{cpu}}$$
(7)

 $\mathsf{DEM:}\ \Delta t = 0.02 \ \mathsf{ms},\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{it}} = 1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{NDEM:}\ \Delta t = 5 \ \mathsf{ms},\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{it}} = 250$ 

where  $K_{cpu} \sim 10^{-6}~\text{s}$  - time for one force update.

techniques	speed-up	
parallelization	$N_{\text{cpu}} < N_{\text{cpu}}^{\text{sat}}$	
warm starting	2-5	
model reduction	$1 - "\infty$ "	

M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (13:23) = + ( = + ( = + ))



acceleration

#### Warm starting



Reach the solution faster by warm starting the PGS based on

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{model:} & \mbox{history:} \\ \lambda_{n,0}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{5}{4} k_n g_{n(\alpha)}^{5/4} & \lambda_0(t) = \beta \lambda_{N_{it}}(t - \Delta t) \\ \lambda_{t,0} = \mbox{proj}_{\mathcal{C}_{IL}}[\gamma_t^{-1}(\boldsymbol{G}_t\boldsymbol{\nu})^T\boldsymbol{G}_t] \end{array}$ 

Apply to velocity also  $\nu_0 = M^{-1} p + M^{-1} G^\mathsf{T} \lambda_0$ 

M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>3</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>3</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>3</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>3</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>3</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (14:23) and A. Berglund<sup>3</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Mickelsson<sup>4</sup>, S. Berglund<sup>4</sup>, S. Berglund<sup></sup>



## Warm starting



2 - 5 times speed-up for history based warm starting friction and rolling resistance is most improved marginal speedup by model based warm starting



# Adaptive model reduction

Substitute rigid bodies for particle regions co-moving rigidly The reduced system is a sub-space projection of the full system



Dramatic speed-up if  $\tilde{n}, \tilde{m} \ll n, m$  by reduction of equations The tricky part is when and where to split

M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (16:23) = (4)



#### Adaptive model reduction

Model approximation error - orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{P}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{E}_{\perp}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{\parallel}(t)$$
$$\mathcal{E}_{\perp}(t) = \left[\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{P}^{T}\right]\mathbf{x}(t)$$
$$\mathcal{E}_{\parallel}(t) = \mathbf{P}\left[\mathbf{P}^{T}\mathbf{x}(t) - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)\right]$$

Error evolution - deviation from rigid rigid motion

$$\begin{split} \boxed{ \ddot{\mathcal{E}}_{\perp} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \left[ \mathbf{\underline{f}}_{e} - \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{\underline{G}}^{T} \mathbf{g} - \frac{\tau}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{\underline{G}}^{T} \mathbf{\underline{G}} \mathbf{\underline{\dot{x}}} \right] } \\ \mathbf{\underline{M}} = \mathbf{P}_{\perp} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^{T}, \ \mathbf{\underline{f}}_{ext} = \mathbf{P}_{\perp} \mathbf{f}_{ext} \text{ and } \mathbf{\underline{G}} = \mathbf{G} \mathbf{P}_{\perp} \\ \mathbf{P}_{\perp} \equiv \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^{T} \end{split}$$



# Adaptive model reduction

Merge conditions - rigid co-motion and force balance

 $\begin{aligned} -v_{\mathcal{E}}^{-} &< \mathbf{G}\dot{\mathbf{x}} < v_{\mathcal{E}}^{+} \\ -a_{\mathcal{E}}^{-} &< \mathbf{G}\ddot{\mathbf{x}} < a_{\mathcal{E}}^{+} \end{aligned} \qquad \qquad \frac{\left|\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{e}} - \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon}\mathbf{G}^{T}\mathbf{g}\right|}{\left|\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{e}}\right| + \left|\frac{\eta}{\varepsilon}\mathbf{G}^{T}\mathbf{g}\right|} \leq f_{\mathcal{E}} \end{aligned}$ 

#### Merge process





# Adaptive model reduction

introduction NDEM validation acceleration interoperability conclusions

#### Refinement prediction methods

- contact split
- trial solve split
- sensor split



M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (19:23) = → < = → ○ < ○



#### Adaptive model reduction



M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (20:23) = → < = → ○ < ○



# Interoperability

introduction NDEM validation acceleration interoperability conclusions

#### Connecting: NDEM + CAD + control software + hardware



Distributed modular co-simulation (FMI-TCP)

- Functional Mockup Interface www.fmi-standard.org
- Master-slave over web (TCP)

M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wang<sup>1</sup>, (21:23) = → < = → ○ < ○



# Summary and conclusions

introduction NDEM validation acceleration interoperability conclusions

#### Summary

- validated NDEM model for iron ore green pellets
- ▶ computational speed-up:  $(10) \times (2 5) \times (1 "\infty")$
- distributed modular co-simulation

#### Conclusions

Particulate simulation of granulation systems is in reach

M. Servin<sup>1</sup>, T. Berglund<sup>2</sup>, K-O. Mickelsson<sup>3</sup>, S. Rönnbäck<sup>4</sup>, D. Wäng<sup>1</sup>, (22:23)  $\overline{=}$  +  $\langle \overline{=} \rangle$   $\overline{=}$   $\circ$   $\circ$   $\circ$   $\circ$ 



#### References

- introduction NDEM validation acceleration interoperability conclusions
- V. Acary and B. Brogliato. Numerical Methods for Nonsmooth Dynamical Systems: Applications in Mechanics and Electronics. Springer Verlag, 2008.
- [3] M. Jean, The non-smooth contact dynamics method, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng., 177, 235-257 (1999)
- [4] C. Lacoursière, M. Linde, SPOOK: a variational time-stepping scheme for rigid multibody systems subject to dry frictional contacts, submitted (2013).
- [5] C. Lacoursière, Ghosts and Machines: Regularized Variational Methods for Interactive Simulations of Multibodies with Dry Frictional Contacts, PhD thesis, UmeåUniversity, Sweden, (2007)
- [6] Moreau J.J. Unilateral Contact and Dry Friction in Finite Freedom Dynamics, volume 302 of Non-smooth Mechanics and Applications, CISM Courses and Lectures. Springer, Wien, 1988
- [8] M. Servin, K. Bodin, C. Lacoursière, D. Wang, Examining the smooth and nonsmooth discrete element approach to granular matter, Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng (2014).